

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/079,003	02/20/2002	Peter Haug	1376-01	6145	
35811	7590 09/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
IP DEPARTMENT OF PIPER RUDNICK LLP			ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND		
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, SUITE 4900 1650 MARKET ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELP	PHIA, PA 19103		1745		
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)) -
Advisory Action	10/079,003	HAUG ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond Alejandro	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica	ition. A proper reply to a	
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth the ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extenunt of the fee. The appropriate extenuint of the fee. The appropriate extenuing of the final Office action	nsion nsion
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	-	,,	
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying t	he
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would local canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendme	nt
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid 	dered but does NOT place the	•
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.	
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	1 .		
		Raymond Alejandro Examiner	+
Potent and Trade		Art Unit: 1745	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: new issue: (claim 1) the amendment replacing now the limitation "electrodeposited" with "electrochemically deposited" as originally intended. In this regard, it is noted that a new ground of rejection was provided in order to adress the newly recited limitation (i.e. "electrodeposited") as presented in the amendment of 02/02/04. Thus, it now raises new issues. (claim 1) the specific electrochemically deposited crystallite of either "Cu or alloys thereof". It is also noted that by incorporating the limitation of claim 3 into independent claim and picking-and-choosing the specific metal crystallite a new issue requiring further search and/or consideration is raised.